UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/816,450	03/31/2004	Anthony L. Chun	1020.P18417	9246
57035 KACVINSKY I	7590 05/01/200 LLC	EXAMINER		
C/O INTELLEY		GEIB, BENJAMIN P		
P.O. BOX 52050 MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2181	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/01/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/816,450	CHUN ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	BENJAMIN P. GEIB	2181			
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING [- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statu Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION .136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tind d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from te, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>09</u> . 2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) Th 3) Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	is action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 17-30 is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-11 and 17-30 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/	awn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 31 March 2004 is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corre 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to e drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Sec ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate			

Art Unit: 2181

DETAILED ACTION

Terminal Disclaimer

- 1. The terminal disclaimer filed on 01/09/2008 disclaiming the terminal portion of any patent granted on this application which would extend beyond the expiration date of U.S. Patent No. 4,862,407 has been reviewed. The terminal disclaimer has been accepted, however it appears to have been improperly accepted as it does not appear to comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because the instant application and U.S. Patent No. 4,862,407 are not commonly owned. The Assignee of record for the instant application is Intel Corporation while the Assignee of record for U.S. Patent No. 4,862,407 is Motorola, Inc.
- 2. The terminal disclaimer filed on 03/11/2008 has been reviewed and is NOT accepted. The terminal disclaimer does not comply with 37 CFR 1.321(b) and/or (c) because although the document lists the number of copending application 10/816451 (i.e. the application indicated in the provisional double patenting rejection) this number is cited as a prior patent number instead of an application number. For the purposes of examination, the terminal disclaimer will be understood as indicating copending application number 10/816451. Appropriate correction is required. If a proper and timely filed terminal disclaimer is not filed then the double patenting rejection still stands.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 1-4, 7-11, 17-20, 22-27, 29, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alsolaim et al., "Architecture and Application of a Dynamically Reconfigurable

Hardware Array for Future Mobile Communication Systems", (Hereinafter Alsolaim) in view of Henry, U.S. Patent No. 4,791,603.

5. Referring to claim 1, Alsolaim has taught an apparatus, comprising:

a memory unit [dual port RAMs; Fig. 3] to store input data for a plurality of functions [last paragraph of section 3.1 on page 209];

a control unit [RPU-controller; Fig. 3] having a control unit state machine module to control execution of said plurality of functions [1st full paragraph on page 209 and 2nd paragraph of left column on page 210], said control unit to select a function to execute using a function identifier [Since the RPU-controller guides all operations, it inherently must select a function (i.e. operation) using a function identifier]; and

a plurality of execution units [RAP units; Figs. 3 & 4] operatively responsive to said control unit, said execution units to receive input data from said memory unit, and use said input data to execute a function corresponding to said function identifier [section 3.2].

Alsolaim has not explicitly taught that the control unit having a control unit state machine module is configured with a fuse map.

Henry has taught configuring logic (i.e. a state machine module) using a fuse map [Henry; column 2, lines 14-31; column 3, lines 44-66].

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim so that the control unit state machine module is configured using a fuse map.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the hardware is made more versatile [Henry; column 2, lines 32-43].

- 6. Referring to claim 2, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said execution units comprise a logic unit to perform scalar arithmetic operations, and at least one data path execution unit to perform arithmetic operations [Alsolaim; section 3.2].
- 7. Referring to claim 3, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a configuration memory [Alsolaim; Configuration Memory Unit (CMU)] to store configuration parameters

Art Unit: 2181

for said control unit, said configuration parameters including said fuse map and table content data [Alsolaim; 1st full paragraph, left column, on page 208].

- 8. Referring to claim 4, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 3, wherein said control unit comprises: said control unit state machine module to be configured in accordance with said fuse map, said control unit state machine to output an operation number address; and a control unit lookup table to be configured with said table content data, said control unit lookup table to convert said operation number address to a reconfigurator vector, said reconfigurator vector to control execution of said function by said execution units [section 3.1].
- 9. Referring to claim 7, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a data selector to read said input data from said memory unit, and distribute said input data to said execution units [data router; Fig. 3].
- 10. Referring to claim 8, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a register file module to store previously read input data during function execution when multiple read cycles are needed to provide data for said function [dual port RAMs; Fig. 3; last paragraph of section 3.1 on page 209].
- 11. Referring to claim 9, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a data packer to receive processed input data from said execution units, and to send said processed input data to an output buffer [1st full paragraph on page 211].
- 12. Referring to claim 10, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, further comprising a data router adapter to communicate packets with said routing elements, said data router adapter to distribute data from received packets to a configuration memory or said memory unit, and transmit packets of processed data from said execution units stored in an output buffer [1st full paragraph on page 211].
- 13. Referring to claim 11, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 1, wherein said logic unit comprises a data address generator to control writing said input data to said memory unit, and reading said input data from said memory unit [section 3.2].

14. Referring to claims 17 and 24, taking claim 24 as exemplary, Alsolaim has taught an article comprising:

a storage medium; said storage medium including stored instructions that, when executed by a processor, result in receiving configuration information [1st full paragraph, left column, on page 208], configuring a control unit having a control unit state machine module [RPU-controller; Fig. 3] using said configuration information, receiving input data for a plurality of functions, controlling execution of said plurality of functions using control signals, and executing said plurality of functions by a plurality of execution units using said input data in accordance with said control signals [1st full paragraph on page 209 and 2nd paragraph of left column on page 210].

Alsolaim has not explicitly taught that the configuration information includes a fuse map.

Henry has taught configuring logic (i.e. a state machine module) using a fuse map [Henry; column 2, lines 14-31; column 3, lines 44-66].

At the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim so that the configuration information includes a fuse map.

The motivation for doing so would have been that the hardware is made more versatile [Henry; column 2, lines 32-43].

- 15. Referring to claims 18 and 25, taking claim 25 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said plurality of functions being executed during different time periods [section 3.2].
- 16. Referring to claims 19 and 26, taking claim 26 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions when executed by a processor, further result in said configuring by receiving configuration parameters for said control unit, said configuration parameters to include said fuse map and table content data, configuring said control unit state machine module using said fuse map, and configuring a control unit lookup table using said table content data [1st full paragraph on page 208].
- 17. Referring to claims 20 and 27, taking claim 27 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions when executed by a processor, further result in said

controlling by reading a function identifier from a function list, generating a reconfigurator vector using said function identifier, sending a data select signal to a data selector to read input data from an input buffer in accordance with said reconfigurator vector, and sending function control signals to said execution units to process said input data in accordance with said reconfigurator vector [section 3.1].

- 18. Referring to claims 22 and 29, taking claim 29 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said executing by receiving said input data at said execution units, receiving function control signals from said control unit, and processing said received input data in accordance with said function control signals [section 3.2].
- 19. Referring to claims 23 and 30, taking claim 30 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 24, wherein the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said receiving by receiving a function identifier and an input identifier for each function, creating an input buffer corresponding to each input identifier, and writing input data for each function in said corresponding input buffer [section 3.2].
- 20. Claims 5, 6, 21, and 28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Alsolaim in view of Henry, and further in view of Fette et al., U.S. Patent No. 4,862,407 (Hereinafter Fette).
- 21. Referring to claim 5, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 4.

Alsolaim and Henry have not explicitly taught wherein said control unit further comprises: an inner loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an inner loop, said inner loop counter to output an inner terminal count signal; an outer loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an outer loop, said outer loop counter to output an outer terminal count signal; and a register file module to store a state for one function while another function is being executed by said execution units.

Fette has taught a control unit comprising: an inner loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an inner loop, said inner loop counter to output an inner terminal count signal [Fette;

Art Unit: 2181

column 7, lines 31-38]; an outer loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an outer loop, said outer loop counter to output an outer terminal count signal [Fette; column 7, lines 31-38]; and a register file module to store a state for one function while another function is being executed by said execution units [Fette; function register (component 80); column 8, lines 8-13].

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim and Henry so that the control unit further comprises: an inner loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an inner loop, said inner loop counter to output an inner terminal count signal; an outer loop counter to count a number of repetitions of instructions in an outer loop, said outer loop counter to output an outer terminal count signal; and a register file module to store a state for one function while another function is being executed by said execution units as taught by Fette.

The motivation for doing so would have been to assist in the execution in the execution of loops [Fette; column 7, lines 31-38].

22. Referring to claim 6, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the apparatus of claim 5.

Alsolaim and Henry have not explicitly taught wherein said control unit state machine module receives as inputs said inner terminal count signal, said outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, and uses said inputs to generate said operation number address.

Fette has taught a control unit that receives as inputs said inner terminal count signal, said outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, and uses said inputs to generate said operation number address [Fette; column 8, lines 8-29].

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim and Henry so that the control unit state machine module receives as inputs said inner terminal count signal, said outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, and uses said inputs to generate said operation number address as taught by Fette.

The motivation for doing so would have been to assist in the execution in the execution of loops [Fette; column 7, lines 31-38].

23. Referring to claims 21 and 28, taking claim 28 as exemplary, Alsolaim and Henry have taught the article of claim 27.

Alsolaim and Henry have not explicitly taught wherein the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said generating by receiving as inputs an inner terminal count signal, an outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, at said control unit state machine, generating an operation number address using said inputs, converting said operation number address to a reconfigurator vector, said reconfigurator vector to control execution of said function by said execution units.

Fette has taught stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said generating by receiving as inputs an inner terminal count signal, an outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, at said control unit state machine, generating an operation number address using said inputs, converting said operation number address to a reconfigurator vector, said reconfigurator vector to control execution of said function by said execution units [Fette; column 7, lines 31-38].

At the time the invention was made it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify Alsolaim and Henry so that the stored instructions, when executed by a processor, further result in said generating by receiving as inputs an inner terminal count signal, an outer terminal count signal, said function identifier, a current state index value, and status register values from said execution units, at said control unit state machine, generating an operation number address using said inputs, converting said operation number address to a reconfigurator vector, said reconfigurator vector to control execution of said function by said execution units as taught by Fette.

The motivation for doing so would have been to assist in the execution in the execution of loops [Fette; column 7, lines 31-38].

Art Unit: 2181

Response to Arguments

24. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 and 17-30 have been considered but are moot

in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

25. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Becker et al., "DReAM: A Dynamically Reconfigurable Architecture for Future Mobile Communication

Applications", has taught a data-driven reconfigurable architecture for wireless communication systems.

Chun et al., "Application of the Intel Reconfigurable Communications Architecture to 802.11a, 3G and 4G

Standards", has taught a data-reconfigurable architecture for wireless communication systems.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should

be directed to BENJAMIN P. GEIB whose telephone number is (571)272-8628. The examiner can

normally be reached on Mon-Fri 8:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Alford Kindred can be reached on (571) 272-4037. The fax phone number for the organization where this

application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application

Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from

either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through

Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC)

at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative

or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-

1000.

/B. P. G./

Examiner, Art Unit 2181

Benjamin P Geib Examiner

Art Unit 2181

/Tonia LM Dollinger/

Art Unit: 2181

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2181